

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/568,634	Applicant(s) SUNDHOLM ET AL.	
	Examiner Darren W. Gorman	Art Unit 3752	

All Participants:

(1) Darren W. Gorman.

(2) Mr. Clifford Mass (Reg. #30,086).

Date of Interview: 21 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as set forth under paragraph 5 of the office action mailed March 26, 2008

Claims discussed:
 1-11

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed w/ Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:15 (EST)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to obtain approval for an Examiner's Amendment in order to allow the instant application. Specifically, there were several issues raised under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, in the Office Action mailed March 26, 2008, which were not addressed in the response filed June 19, 2008, in either the remarks section of the response or the claim amendments of the response. The Examiner's Amendment proposed by the Examiner overcomes all remaining outstanding issues of record with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Also, the proposed Examiner's Amendment includes non-substantive changes to improve the clarity of the claims. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendment, as detailed in the correspondence mailed herewith.